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#### Abstract

This paper presents a novel unified Ritz-based method for reliable computation of eigenvalues of both thick and thin, circular and annular plates with different boundary conditions. The solution is based on an appropriate and simple formulation capable of handling in an unified way a large variety of two-dimensional higher-order plate theories. The formulation is also invariant with respect to the set of Ritz admissible functions. In this work, accurate upper-bound vibration solutions are presented by using kinematic models up to sixth order and products of Chebyshev polynomials and boundary-compliant functions. Considering the circumferential symmetry of circular plates and the two-dimensional nature of underlying theories, the present method is also computationally efficient since only single series of trial functions in the radial direction are required.
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## 1 Introduction

When dealing with vibration analysis of plate-like structures, one is typically faced with the problem of selecting the best structural model which yields system eigenvalues with desired accuracy and acceptable computational burden. Modeling approaches range from fully three-dimensional (3-D) models, without any simplifying assumption on the kinematics of deformation, to traditional plate theories, like classical plate theory (CPT) and first-order shear deformation theory (FSDT), based on a reduction of the 3-D problem to simple and economical two-dimensional (2-D) models [1].

Many attempts lying in the middle have appeared in the recent literature. They fall into the category of so-called refined or higher-order plate theories, where the conven-
tional kinematics of FSDT is enriched with various higher-order terms as power series expansion of the thickness coordinate $[2,3,4,5,6,7,8]$. The aim of such refined theories is to preserve the 2-D nature of the model and thus avoid the complexity and computational inefficiency of 3-D elasticity solutions, while improving, compared to classical theories, the capabilities of estimating the correct mechanical behavior especially when thickness-to-length ratio of the plate increases, accurate through-thethickness distribution of displacements and stresses is sought and discrete high frequency analysis is required.

In contrast to CPT and FSDT, higher-order theories typically lead to complex mathematical formulations of the structural problem. Derivation and computer implementation of the corresponding models would be less cumbersome with the availability of appropriate techniques capable of handling in an easy and efficient way arbitrary refinements of classical theories. Furthermore, it would be highly desirable to rely on an unified modeling framework giving the ability of performing comparisons of different theories of increasing complexity without the need of a new modeling effort each time.

A unified Ritz-based formulation based on an entire class of 2-D higher-order theories is presented here to accurately compute eigenvalues of thick and thin isotropic circular and annular plates with arbitrary boundary conditions. Several studies have been devoted to free vibration analysis of circular and annular plates. Most of them presented the natural frequencies on the basis of CPT and FSDT (see, e.g., $[9,10,11$, 12, 13]). A satisfactory number of papers appeared in the literature that carried out a 3-D vibration analysis $[14,15,16,17,18]$. However, higher-order plate theories were employed only in few works [19, 20, 21]. As reported by So and Leissa in their paper [15]: " [...] besides the 2-D Mindlin theory used here for comparison [...], there are higher order 2-D plate theories proposed by numerous authors. Their governing equations are much more complicated than those of the Mindlin theory. One wonders how accurate their frequencies would be in representing a 3-D problem [...] ". One goal of this study is to contribute in providing an answer to the above question.

As a further remark, all previous works on free vibration of circular and annular plates modeled according to 2-D theories suffer from a common shortcoming: they rely on axiomatic models with a fixed kinematic theory. As a result, the development of a refined theory of a certain order requires each time a new mathematical effort along with the related code implementation. This process can be cumbersome and prone to errors. The powerful yet simple method presented in this study overcomes the above deficiency.

The present formulation can be considered as an extension to circular plates of the variable-kinematic Ritz method developed in [22], which was focused on straightsided quadrilateral plates. The formulation is invariant with respect to both the specific plate theory and the set of admissible functions. In other words, a unified modeling framework is derived in terms of simple modeling kernels, called Ritz fundamental nuclei, which are properly expanded to yield the mass and stiffness matrices of the model. In this work, products of Chebyshev polynomials and boundary-compliant


Figure 1: Geometry of an annular plate.
functions are chosen as Ritz trial set. Upper-bound vibration solutions based on different 2-D models are shown and compared with various thin and thick cases available in the literature.

Considering the circumferential symmetry of circular plates and the 2-D nature of underlying theories, the present method is computationally efficient since only single series of trial functions in the radial direction are required. In addition, relying on a global approximation, the method has a high spectral accuracy and converges faster than local methods such as finite elements. As a result, the formulation derived in this work is accurate in providing benchmark values yet efficient to be used for design purposes and parametric analysis.

## 2 Theoretical formulation

An annular isotropic plate of outer radius $R_{o}$ and inner radius $R_{i}$ is considered as shown in Figure 1. The plate has uniform thickness $h$. An orthogonal cylindrical coordinate system is defined with radial direction $r\left(R_{i} \leq r \leq R_{o}\right)$, circumferential direction $\theta(0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi)$ and thickness direction $z(-h / 2 \leq z \leq h / 2)$.

For generality and convenience, the present formulation is derived using a dimensionless coordinate $\xi(-1 \leq \xi \leq 1)$ for the radial direction defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{r}{\gamma}-\delta \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma=\frac{R_{o}-R_{i}}{2}  \tag{2}\\
& \delta=\frac{R_{o}+R_{i}}{R_{o}-R_{i}} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The displacement vector $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}(\xi, \theta, z, t)$ of a generic point of the plate is given by

$$
\mathbf{u}(\xi, \theta, z, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{\xi}(\xi, \theta, z, t)  \tag{4}\\
u_{\theta}(\xi, \theta, z, t) \\
u_{z}(\xi, \theta, z, t)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Strain components can be grouped into an in-plane strain vector $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$ and out-of-plane (normal) strain vector $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}$ as follows

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{\xi \xi}  \tag{5}\\
\varepsilon_{\theta \theta} \\
\gamma_{\xi \theta}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\gamma_{\xi z} \\
\gamma_{\theta z} \\
\varepsilon_{z z}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Within the framework of linear, small strain, elasticity theory, strain vectors are related to displacements through the following equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}=\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathbf{u}  \tag{6}\\
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}=\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathbf{u}+\boldsymbol{D}_{z} \mathbf{u} \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{p}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} & 0 & 0 \\
\left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \frac{1}{\xi+\delta} & \left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \frac{1}{\xi+\delta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} & 0 \\
\left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \frac{1}{\xi+\delta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} & \left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}-\frac{1}{\xi+\delta}\right] & 0
\end{array}\right]  \tag{8}\\
\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{n}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \\
0 & 0 & \left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \frac{1}{\xi+\delta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{D}_{z}=\operatorname{diag}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly, the stress vector can be partitioned into in-plane $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ and out-of-plane $\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}$ components. Using Eqs. (6) and (7), the three-dimensional Hooke's law can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pp}} \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathbf{u}+\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pn}} \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathbf{u}+\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pn}} \boldsymbol{D}_{z} \mathbf{u} \\
& \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{np}} \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathbf{u}+\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{nn}} \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathbf{u}+\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{nn}} \boldsymbol{D}_{z} \mathbf{u} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where the following matrices of stiffness coefficients are introduced:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pp}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{11} & C_{12} & 0 \\
C_{12} & C_{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & C_{66}
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pn}}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & C_{13} \\
0 & 0 & C_{23} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]  \tag{12}\\
\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{np}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
C_{13} & C_{23} & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{nn}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{55} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & C_{44} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & C_{33}
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}
$$

In the case of isotropic materials, the elastic coefficients are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{11}=C_{22}=C_{33}=\frac{E(1-\nu)}{(1+\nu)(1-2 \nu)} \\
& C_{12}=C_{13}=C_{23}=\frac{E \nu}{(1+\nu)(1-2 \nu)}  \tag{13}\\
& C_{44}=C_{55}=C_{66}=G=\frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}
\end{align*}
$$

in which $E$ is the Young's modulus, $\nu$ is the Poisson's ratio, and $G$ is the shear modulus.

According to the approach developed by Carrera [23], an entire class of twodimensional higher-order plate theories can be compactly described through the following indicial notation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}(\xi, \theta, z, t)=F_{\tau}(z) \mathbf{u}_{\tau}(\xi, \theta, t) \quad(\tau=0,1, \ldots, N) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{u}_{\tau}(\xi, \theta, t)$ is the displacement vector containing the unknown kinematic variables related to the specific plate theory, $\tau$ is an integer index related to the order $N$ of the theory and $F_{\tau}(z)$ are selected functions in the thickness direction. The summation convention on indices appearing twice is implied in Eq. (14). In this work, the $z$ expansion is implemented via Taylor polynomials. For the sake of brevity, a higherorder theory of order $N$ will be indicated in the following by $\mathrm{HOT}_{N}$. For example, $\mathrm{HOT}_{3}$ is a plate theory of order 3 based on the following assumed kinematic field:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{\xi}=u_{\xi 0}+z u_{\xi 1}+z^{2} u_{\xi 2}+z^{3} u_{\xi 3} \\
& u_{\theta}=u_{\theta 0}+z u_{\theta 1}+z^{2} u_{\theta 2}+z^{3} u_{\theta 3} \\
& u_{z}=u_{z 0}+z u_{z 1}+z^{2} u_{z 2}+z^{3} u_{z 3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The total number of kinematic degrees of freedom for a given $\mathrm{HOT}_{N}$ is $3(N+1)$.
Assuming a harmonic motion and considering the circumferential symmetry of the plate about the coordinate $\theta$, the displacements can be expressed as

$$
\mathbf{u}(\xi, \theta, z, t)=F_{\tau}(z)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\hat{u}_{\xi \tau}(\xi) \cos (n \theta)  \tag{15}\\
\hat{u}_{\theta \tau}(\xi) \sin (n \theta) \\
\hat{u}_{z \tau}(\xi) \cos (n \theta)
\end{array}\right\} e^{j \omega t}
$$

| Boundary condition | $f_{\xi \tau}^{\text {inn }}$ | $f_{\theta \tau}^{\text {inn }}$ | $f_{z \tau}^{\text {inn }}$ | $f_{\xi \tau}^{\text {out }}$ | $f_{\theta \tau}^{\text {out }}$ | $f_{z \tau}^{\text {out }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Clamped | $1+\xi$ | $1+\xi$ | $1+\xi$ | $1-\xi$ | $1-\xi$ | $1-\xi$ |
| Simply-supported | 1 | $1+\xi$ | $1+\xi$ | 1 | $1-\xi$ | $1-\xi$ |
| Free | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 1: Boundary functions.
or, in matrix form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}(\xi, \theta, z, t)=F_{\tau}(z) \boldsymbol{\Theta}(n \theta) \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\tau}(\xi) e^{j \omega t} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{u}$ 's are amplitude functions of the dimensionless radial coordinate, $n=0,1,2, \ldots$ is the circumferential wavenumber and $\Theta(n \theta)=\operatorname{diag}(\cos n \theta, \sin n \theta, \cos n \theta)$. Note that $n=0$ in Eq. (15) yields axisymmetric vibration which involves only $u_{\xi}$ and $u_{z}$. A complementary displacement field can be also used by replacing $\cos (n \theta)$ by $\sin (n \theta)$, and conversely, in Eq. (15). In this case, torsional vibration modes are obtained when $n=0$.

A standard Ritz solution is sought for each component of the displacement vector $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\tau}(\xi)$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{u}_{\xi \tau}(\xi)=\phi_{\xi \tau i}(\xi) c_{\xi \tau i} \\
& \hat{u}_{\theta \tau}(\xi)=\phi_{\theta \tau i}(\xi) c_{\theta \tau i}  \tag{17}\\
& \hat{u}_{z \tau}(\xi)=\phi_{z \tau i}(\xi) c_{z \tau i}
\end{align*} \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, M)
$$

where $M$ is the order of the Ritz expansion, $c_{\alpha \tau i}(\alpha=\xi, \theta, z)$ are the unknown Ritz coefficients, and $\phi_{\alpha \tau i}$ are the corresponding Ritz trial functions. Note that, as before for the theory-related index $\tau$ in Eq. (14), Ritz-related dummy index $i$ in Eq. (17) implies summation. The $i$-th admissible function $\phi_{\alpha \tau i}(\xi)$ is chosen here as the product of boundary-compliant functions and the one-dimensional Chebyshev polynomial [17]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\alpha \tau i}(\xi)=f_{\alpha \tau}^{\mathrm{inn}}(\xi) f_{\alpha \tau}^{\mathrm{out}}(\xi) \cos [(i-1) \arccos (\xi)] \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{\alpha \tau}^{\text {inn }}(\xi)$ and $f_{\alpha \tau}^{\text {out }}(\xi)$ enable the displacement component $u_{\alpha \tau}$ to satisfy the geometric boundary conditions at the inner $(\xi=-1)$ and outer $(\xi=+1)$ edges of the plate, respectively. The boundary functions corresponding to the most common boundary conditions are reported in Table 1 . It is clear that $f_{\alpha \tau}^{\mathrm{inn}}(\xi)=1$ in the case of a solid circular plate. Chebyshev polynomials form a complete and orthogonal set in the interval $[-1,+1]$. As such, good convergence and numerical stability of the method are expected.

For the sake of compact notation, Eq. (17) is rearranged in matrix form as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\tau}(\xi)=\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\tau i}(\xi) \mathbf{c}_{\tau i} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\tau i}(\xi)=\operatorname{diag}\left(\phi_{\xi \tau i}, \phi_{\theta \tau i}, \phi_{z \tau i}\right)$ and $\mathbf{c}_{\tau i}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}c_{\xi \tau i} & c_{\theta \tau i} & c_{z \tau i}\end{array}\right\}^{T}$. Therefore, the displacement vector in Eq. (16) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}(\xi, \theta, z, t)=F_{\tau}(z) \boldsymbol{\Theta}(n \theta) \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\tau i}(\xi) \mathbf{c}_{\tau i} e^{j \omega t} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The potential and kinetic energy of the plate are expressed, respectively, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{+\frac{h}{2}}\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}^{T} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pp}} \varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}^{T} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pn}} \varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}^{T} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{np}} \varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}^{T} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{nn}} \varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}\right)(\xi+\delta) \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{~d} \theta \mathrm{~d} \xi \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{+\frac{h}{2}} \rho\left[\left(\frac{\partial u_{\xi}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{z}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right](\xi+\delta) \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{~d} \theta \mathrm{~d} \xi \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is the mass density of the plate. Substituting Eq. (20) into Eqs. (6) and (7) and using Hooke's law in Eq. (11), the expressions of the maximum potential and kinetic energy of the plate vibrating harmonically can be compactly written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\max }=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{c}_{\tau i}^{T} \mathbf{K}_{\tau s i j} \mathbf{c}_{s j} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\max }=\frac{1}{2} \omega^{2} \mathbf{c}_{\tau i}^{T} \mathbf{M}_{\tau s i j} \mathbf{c}_{s j} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ and $j$ are other theory-related and Ritz-related dummy indices, respectively. In the above equations, when $n \neq 0, \mathbf{K}_{\tau s i j}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{\tau s i j}$ are $3 \times 3$ matrices given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{K}_{\tau s i j}=\gamma^{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} & \left\{[ \boldsymbol { D } _ { \mathrm { p } } \boldsymbol { \Theta } ( n \theta ) \boldsymbol { \Phi } _ { \tau i } ( \xi ) ] ^ { T } \left[\mathbf{Z}_{\tau s}^{\mathrm{pp}} \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{p}}+\mathbf{Z}_{\tau s}^{\mathrm{pn}} \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{n}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\mathbf{Z}_{\tau s, z}^{\mathrm{pn}}\right] \boldsymbol{\Theta}(n \theta) \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{s j}(\xi)+\left[\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{n}} \boldsymbol{\Theta}(n \theta) \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\tau i}(\xi)\right]^{T}\left[\mathbf{Z}_{\tau s}^{\mathrm{np}} \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{p}}\right. \\
& \left.+\mathbf{Z}_{\tau s}^{\mathrm{nn}} \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathbf{Z}_{\tau s, z}^{\mathrm{nn}}\right] \boldsymbol{\Theta}(n \theta) \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{s j}(\xi)+\left[\boldsymbol{\Theta}(n \theta) \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\tau i}(\xi)\right]^{T}\left[\mathbf{Z}_{\tau, z s}^{\mathrm{np}} \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{p}}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\mathbf{Z}_{\tau, z s}^{\mathrm{nn}} \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathbf{Z}_{\tau, z s, z}^{\mathrm{nn}}\right] \boldsymbol{\Theta}(n \theta) \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{s j}(\xi)\right\}(\xi+\delta) \mathrm{d} \theta \mathrm{~d} \xi \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{\tau s i j}=\gamma^{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\boldsymbol{\Theta}(n \theta) \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\tau i}(\xi)\right]^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{\tau s}^{\rho} \boldsymbol{\Theta}(n \theta) \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{s j}(\xi)(\xi+\delta) \mathrm{d} \theta \mathrm{~d} \xi \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_{\tau s}^{\mathrm{pp}}, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}_{\tau s}^{\rho}$ are matrices of thickness integrals whose expression is given in Appendix A. Matrices in Eqs. (25) and (26) represent modeling kernels and are called Ritz fundamental nuclei of the present formulation. Indeed, they are invariant with respect to both the underlying kinematic theory and the set of Ritz admissible functions. In the case of axisymmetric modes, the condition $n=0$ yields fundamental nuclei $\mathbf{K}_{\tau s i j}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{\tau s i j}$ of dimension $2 \times 2$ since only $u_{\xi}$ and $u_{z}$ are involved. In the case of
torsional vibration, the fundamental nuclei reduce to scalar quantities. The elements of $\mathbf{K}_{\tau s i j}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{\tau s i j}$ are explicitly reported in Appendix B.

The stiffness and mass matrices of the plate are built from the above nuclei through an assembly-like procedure. The nuclei are first expanded to $3(N+1) \times 3(N+1)$ matrices by varying the theory-related indices $\tau$ and $s$ from 0 to $N$. This expansion yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{K}_{i j} & =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{K}_{00 i j} & \mathbf{K}_{0 r i j} & \mathbf{K}_{0 N i j} \\
\mathbf{K}_{r 0 i j} & \mathbf{K}_{r r i j} & \mathbf{K}_{\text {rNij }} \\
\mathbf{K}_{N 0 i j} & \mathbf{K}_{N r i j} & \mathbf{K}_{N N i j}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{27}\\
\mathbf{M}_{i j} & =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{M}_{00 i j} & \mathbf{M}_{0 r i j} & \mathbf{M}_{0 N i j} \\
\mathbf{M}_{r 0 i j} & \mathbf{M}_{r r i j} & \mathbf{M}_{r N i j} \\
\mathbf{M}_{N 0 i j} & \mathbf{M}_{N r i j} & \mathbf{M}_{N N i j}
\end{array}\right] \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r=1, \ldots, N-1$. Then, the final matrices $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ of dimensions $3 M(N+$ 1) $\times 3 M(N+1)$ are generated accordingly through variation of Ritz-related indeces $i$ and $j$ in the above quantities $\mathbf{K}_{i j}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{i j}$ and by applying the same assembly operations adopted for the nuclei expansion.

The extremization of the energy functional $\Pi=U_{\max }-T_{\max }$ with respect to the coefficients $\mathbf{c}_{\tau i}$ yields the following generalized eigenvalue problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{K}-\omega^{2} \mathbf{M}\right) \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{0} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{c}$ is the vector containing the unknown coefficients $\mathbf{c}_{s j}$.

## 3 Convergence and stability analysis

The mathematically complete set of admissible functions in Eq. (18) yields upperbound frequency values with increasing accuracy towards exact solutions as the number of terms $M$ retained in the series of Eq. (19) increases. However, nothing can be said in advance with regard to the efficiency of the present method in terms of rate of convergence. Furthermore, possible numerical issues associated with ill-conditioned eigenvalue problems in Eq. (29) when an high number of terms are taken should be pointed out.

The convergence and numerical stability of the method are studied in this section with respect to a clamped solid circular plate ( $R_{o}=R$ ) with various thickness-toradius $h / R$ ratios. Clamping boundary conditions have been selected since convergence of such solutions is expected to be slower than for other edge conditions, even for the lowest frequency parameters [15, 22]. This is mainly due to the difficulty of global trial function in approximating the actual displacement field near the fixed boundary. Three cases are considered corresponding to a thin plate ( $h / R=0.01$ ), a moderately thick plate ( $h / R=0.1$ ), and a very thick plate ( $h / R=0.5$ ). The first six non-dimensional frequencies $\lambda=\omega R^{2} \sqrt{\rho h / D}$, where $D=E h^{3} / 12\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)$ is the plate bending stiffness, are listed in Table 2 for three different kinematic theories of
increasing complexity. Numerical results are shown as functions of increasing value of order $M$ for the Ritz expansion in the radial direction. Frequency values with superscripts $a$ and $t$ denote axisymmetric and torsional vibration modes, respectively, corresponding to $n=0$.

Table 2: Convergence of the first six frequency parameters $\lambda=\omega R^{2} \sqrt{\rho h / D}$ for solid clamped circular plates.

| Mode |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Theory | $h / R$ | M | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| $\mathrm{HOT}_{1}$ | 0.01 | 8 | $11.304^{a}$ | 23.518 | 38.568 | $43.976^{a}$ | 56.410 | 67.232 |
|  |  | 10 | 11.304 | 23.518 | 38.568 | 43.976 | 56.409 | 67.229 |
|  |  | 20 | 11.304 | 23.518 | 38.568 | 43.976 | 56.409 | 67.229 |
|  | 0.1 | 8 | $11.000^{a}$ | 22.324 | 35.625 | $40.354^{a}$ | 50.625 | 59.557 |
|  |  | 10 | 11.000 | 22.324 | 35.625 | 40.354 | 50.624 | 59.556 |
|  |  | 20 | 11.000 | 22.324 | 35.625 | 40.354 | 50.624 | 59.556 |
|  | 0.5 | 8 | $7.3607^{a}$ | 12.364 | 13.720 | $15.705^{t}$ | 17.387 | $19.102^{a}$ |
|  |  | 10 | 7.3607 | 12.364 | 13.720 | 15.705 | 17.387 | 19.102 |
|  |  | 18 | 7.3607 | 12.364 | 13.720 | 15.705 | 17.387 | 19.102 |
| $\mathrm{HOT}_{2}$ | 0.01 | 8 | $10.259^{a}$ | 21.345 | 35.006 | $39.916^{a}$ | 51.201 | 61.022 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.244 | 21.314 | 34.955 | 39.858 | 51.129 | 60.938 |
|  |  | 20 | 10.222 | 21.269 | 34.881 | 39.773 | 51.019 | 60.808 |
|  |  | 30 | 10.218 | 21.260 | 34.867 | 39.757 | 50.999 | 60.783 |
|  |  | 40 | 10.217 | 21.257 | 34.862 | 39.752 | 50.992 | 60.775 |
|  | 0.1 | 8 | $10.030^{a}$ | 20.426 | 32.713 | $37.085^{\text {a }}$ | 46.647 | 54.963 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.019 | 20.404 | 32.679 | 37.048 | 46.602 | 54.912 |
|  |  | 20 | 10.010 | 20.386 | 32.652 | 37.018 | 46.566 | 54.870 |
|  |  | 30 | 10.010 | 20.386 | 32.652 | 37.018 | 46.565 | 54.869 |
|  | 0.5 | 8 | $7.0527^{a}$ | 11.955 | 13.684 | $15.705^{t}$ | 16.864 | $18.548^{a}$ |
|  |  | 10 | 7.0525 | 11.955 | 13.684 | 15.705 | 16.864 | 18.547 |
|  |  | 16 | 7.0525 | 11.955 | 13.684 | 15.705 | 16.864 | 18.547 |
|  |  | 18 | 7.0525 | 11.955 | 13.684 | 15.705 | 16.864 | 18.547 |
| $\mathrm{HOT}_{6}$ | 0.01 | 8 | $10.258^{a}$ | 21.343 | 35.003 |  | 51.194 |  |
|  |  | 10 | 10.243 | 21.312 | 34.952 | 39.853 | 51.122 | 60.928 |
|  |  | 20 | 10.222 | 21.267 | 34.877 | 39.768 | 51.012 | 60.798 |
|  |  | 30 | 10.217 | 21.258 | 34.863 | 39.752 | 50.991 | 60.773 |
|  |  | 40 | 10.216 | 21.255 | 34.858 | 39.747 | 50.984 | 60.765 |

Table 2 - (continued on next page)

Table 2 - (continued)

|  |  |  | Mode |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Theory | $h / R$ | $M$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.1 | 8 | $9.9973^{a}$ | 20.310 | 32.449 | $36.766^{a}$ | 46.167 | 54.340 |  |  |
|  | 10 | 9.9862 | 20.288 | 32.416 | 36.728 | 46.121 | 54.286 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 20 | 9.9746 | 20.265 | 32.381 | 36.689 | 46.073 | 54.230 |  |  |
|  |  | 30 | 9.9735 | 20.263 | 32.377 | 36.685 | 46.068 | 54.224 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | $8.8094^{a}$ | 11.501 | 13.659 | $15.705^{t}$ | 16.234 | $17.829^{a}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | 6.8075 | 11.498 | 13.657 | 15.705 | 16.231 | 17.827 |  |  |  |
|  | 16 | 6.8060 | 11.497 | 13.657 | 15.705 | 16.230 | 17.825 |  |  |  |
|  | 18 | 6.8060 | 11.497 | 13.657 | 15.705 | 16.230 | 17.825 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

As expected, all the frequency parameters monotonically decrease with the increase in the number of admissible functions, regardless of the thickness-to-radius ratio and the order of the kinematic model.

For each thickness-to-radius ratio, the rate of convergence of the method is very similar for $\mathrm{HOT}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HOT}_{6}$. Although corresponding results are not shown here due to brevity reasons, the same can be said for kinematic models of intermediate order. From Table 2, it can be seen that fewer terms are needed for the frequency values to converge when the thickness dimension becomes significant. Indeed, all the first six frequency parameters converged to five-digit upper-bound values with $M=16$ in the case of $h / R=0.5$. When thinner plates are considered, the same frequencies are of only three- or four-digit accuracy even when the order $M$ raises up to 30 . A more rapid convergence as the plate thickness ratio increases has been also observed in 3-D Ritz-based vibration studies [16]. Moreover, the substantial invariance of the convergence behavior with respect to the assumed kinematic theory was also found in a previous work on quadrilateral straight-sided plate [22].

By further comparing solutions based on $\mathrm{HOT}_{2}$ with those based on $\mathrm{HOT}_{6}$, it is noted that, except for the thin case $(h / R=0.01)$ and the results corresponding to torsional modes, all the natural frequencies converged to different values according to the adopted theory. As shown in the next section, the accuracy of the solution for moderately thick and very thick plates is largely affected by the underlying kinematic model. In the case of thin plates, frequency values computed by plate theories of increasing order are all very close to each other and completely consistent with results obtained from the classical 2-D Kirchhoff theory (see Table 2.1 in [9]).

Tabulated results corresponding to a first-order $\mathrm{HOT}_{1}$ kinematic theory show that the rate of convergence of the method is very fast in that case, regardless of the thickness-to-radius ratio. All the frequency parameters converged to five-digit upperbound values with $M=10$. However, it is observed that convergent results are all
significantly higher than those obtained with more refined theories. This behavior is due to a locking mechanism, known as thickness locking (TL), which occurs when the kinematic model exhibits a constant distribution of the transverse normal strain $\varepsilon_{z z}$ [22]. Note that TL effects are more distinct for thin plates and slightly decrease with increasing thickness.

As far as the numerical stability of the method is concerned, it can be noticed that the ill-conditioning of the eigenvalue problem is avoided even when a high number $M$ of terms is taken to compute the frequency solutions. It is shown that stable numerical analysis can still be carried out when $M=40$. Numerical tests involving up to 100 terms in the radial direction have been performed without reaching the upper limit yet. Such immunity against ill-conditioned behavior can be of great importance in improving the accuracy of the eigenfrequencies of higher order.

As a final remark, it can be observed that, from an engineering point of view, wellconverged values are attained with $M=20$ in all cases.

Similar analysis has been carried out on annular plates having different $R_{o} / R_{i}$ ratios. The computed results, not shown here for brevity, have confirmed the above outlined conclusions.

## 4 Comparison results

The variable-kinematic Ritz formulation derived in Section 2 is here validated against some reference solutions available in the literature. In particular, the following analysis is focused on comparing eigenfrequencies of different annular plates obtained on the basis of higher-order 2-D theories with those computed using a fully 3-D approach. Results are given in tabulated form, so that listed solutions may serve as benchmark values for future comparison.

The first analysis is referred to annular plates with $R_{o}=(10 / 3) R_{i}$ and $h / R_{o}=0.2$. Three cases with different combinations of boundary conditions at the inner and outer edges are considered. The first six frequency parameters $\lambda=\omega R_{o}^{2} \sqrt{\rho h / D}$ are sorted in Table 3 as a result of the adoption of kinematic models of order 2, 4, and 6. Present Ritz-based solutions are computed with $M=30$ and compared with those obtained from three-dimensional analysis using orthogonally generated polynomial functions [16] and Chebyshev polynomials [17]. Note that the missing term with both the inner and outer edges clamped is related to a torsional mode, which was not computed in Ref. [16]. It is clear from Table 3 that frequency values arising from 2-D models converge towards 3-D based accurate solutions reported in [17] as the order $N$ of the underlying theory increases. The agreement is excellent when computations are performed using a kinematic model of order 6 . The accuracy is slightly worse, but still very good, for models of lower order. This shows that, using the variablekinematic formulation presented in this work, one can easily select the theory refinement needed to achieve a desired accuracy without any further development effort and without the complexity and computational inefficiency associated to 3-D models.

Table 3: Frequency parameters $\lambda=\omega R_{o}^{2} \sqrt{\rho h / D}$ for the first eight modes of annular plates with $R_{o}=(10 / 3) R_{i}, h / R_{o}=0.2$ and various boundary conditions.

|  |  | Mode |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| BC | Method | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| FF | Present $\left(\mathrm{HOT}_{2}\right)$ | 4.6393 | 7.9075 | 11.222 | 15.389 | 15.662 | 19.030 |  |
|  | Present $\left(\mathrm{HOT}_{4}\right)$ | 4.6196 | 7.8939 | 11.143 | 15.187 | 15.661 | 18.826 |  |
|  | Present $\left(\mathrm{HOT}_{6}\right)$ | 4.6195 | 7.8939 | 11.143 | 15.187 | 15.661 | 18.826 |  |
|  | 3D-Ritz $[16]$ | 4.6198 | 7.8939 | 11.143 | 15.189 | 15.662 | 18.826 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FC | Present $\left(\mathrm{HOT}_{2}\right)$ | 10.553 | 16.323 | 26.210 | 37.101 | 38.249 | 39.627 |  |
|  | Present $\left(\mathrm{HOT}_{4}\right)$ | 10.442 | 16.020 | 25.645 | 36.214 | 37.339 | 39.598 |  |
|  | Present $\left(\mathrm{HOT}_{6}\right)$ | 10.438 | 16.013 | 25.634 | 36.197 | 37.313 | 39.592 |  |
|  | 3D-Ritz [16] | 10.448 | 16.026 | 25.650 | 36.220 | 37.346 | 39.602 |  |
|  | 3D-Ritz [17] | 10.437 | 16.012 | 25.632 | 36.194 | 37.309 | 39.591 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CC | Present $\left(\mathrm{HOT}_{2}\right)$ | 31.822 | 32.548 | 35.451 | 41.442 | 48.220 | 50.147 |  |
|  | Present $\left(\mathrm{HOT}_{4}\right)$ | 30.741 | 31.473 | 34.371 | 40.271 | 48.220 | 48.745 |  |
|  | Present $\left(\mathrm{HOT}_{6}\right)$ | 30.696 | 31.430 | 34.333 | 40.238 | 48.220 | 48.713 |  |
|  | 3D-Ritz [16] | 30.743 | 31.474 | 34.370 | 40.266 | - | 48.736 |  |
|  | 3D-Ritz [17] | 30.688 | 31.422 | 34.325 | 40.231 | 48.220 | 48.707 |  |

Note also that upper-bound results obtained by the present method using $\mathrm{HOT}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{HOT}_{6}$ are slightly lower than those obtained in [16] from a 3-D analysis. This is probably due to the relatively low number of Ritz terms taken in the radial and thickness directions in the 3-D case.

Table 4: Frequency parameters $\lambda=\omega R_{o} \sqrt{\rho / G}$ for the first four antisymmetric modes of completely free annular plates with $R_{o}=2 R_{i}$.

| $h / R_{o}$ | $n$ | Method | Mode |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 0.4 | $0^{a}$ | Present ( $\mathrm{HOT}_{1}$ ) | 1.470 | 9.106 | 9.983 | 16.631 |
|  |  | Present ( $\mathrm{HOT}_{3}$ ) | 1.388 | 8.344 | 9.167 | 14.498 |
|  |  | Present ( $\mathrm{HOT}_{6}$ ) | 1.388 | 8.321 | 9.127 | 14.133 |
|  |  | 3D-Ritz [17] | 1.388 | 8.321 | 9.127 | 14.133 |
|  |  | 3D-Ritz [15] | 1.388 | 8.321 | 9.127 | 14.133 |
|  | 1 | Present ( $\mathrm{HOT}_{3}$ ) | 1.944 | 8.049 | 8.554 | 8.974 |
|  |  | Present ( $\mathrm{HOT}_{6}$ ) | 1.943 | 8.039 | 8.534 | 8.945 |
|  |  | 3D-Ritz [17] | 1.943 | 8.039 | 8.534 | 8.945 |
|  |  | 3D-Ritz [15] | 1.943 | 8.039 | 8.534 | 8.945 |
|  | 2 | Present ( $\mathrm{HOT}_{3}$ ) | 0.691 | 3.127 | 8.422 | 8.814 |
|  |  | Present ( $\mathrm{HOT}_{6}$ ) | 0.691 | 3.123 | 8.400 | 8.793 |
|  |  | 3D-Ritz [17] | 0.691 | 3.123 | 8.400 | 8.793 |
|  |  | 3D-Ritz [15] | 0.691 | 3.123 | 8.400 | 8.793 |
|  | 3 | Present ( $\mathrm{HOT}_{3}$ ) | 1.681 | 4.459 | 8.834 | 9.007 |
|  |  | Present ( $\mathrm{HOT}_{6}$ ) | 1.680 | 4.450 | 8.808 | 8.986 |
|  |  | 3D-Ritz [17] | 1.680 | 4.450 | 8.808 | 8.986 |
|  |  | 3D-Ritz [15] | 1.680 | 4.450 | 8.808 | 8.986 |
| 1 | $0^{a}$ | Present ( $\mathrm{HOT}_{1}$ ) | 2.102 | 7.177 | 10.903 | 14.104 |
|  |  | Present ( $\mathrm{HOT}_{3}$ ) | 1.984 | 6.129 | 9.360 | 10.411 |
|  |  | Present ( $\mathrm{HOT}_{6}$ ) | 1.984 | 5.775 | 8.329 | 9.355 |
|  |  | 3D-Ritz [17] | 1.984 | 5.772 | 8.258 | 9.084 |
|  |  | 3D-Ritz [15] | 1.984 | 5.772 | 8.258 | 9.084 |
|  | 1 | Present ( $\mathrm{HOT}_{3}$ ) | 2.002 | 3.939 | 6.145 | 7.959 |
|  |  | Present ( $\mathrm{HOT}_{6}$ ) | 1.999 | 3.930 | 5.842 | 7.719 |
|  |  | 3D-Ritz [17] | 1.999 | 3.930 | 5.839 | 7.706 |
|  |  | 3D-Ritz [15] | 1.999 | 3.930 | 5.839 | 7.706 |

Table 4 - (continued)

|  |  |  | Mode |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $h / R_{o}$ | $n$ | Method | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|  | 2 | Present $\left(\mathrm{HOT}_{3}\right)$ | 1.040 | 2.858 | 5.213 | 6.424 |
|  |  | Present $\left(\mathrm{HOT}_{6}\right)$ | 1.039 | 2.846 | 5.173 | 6.160 |
|  |  | 3D-Ritz [17] | 1.039 | 2.846 | 5.172 | 6.157 |
|  |  | 3D-Ritz [15] | 1.039 | 2.846 | 5.172 | 6.157 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | Present $\left(\mathrm{HOT}_{3}\right)$ | 2.326 | 3.975 | 6.521 | 7.072 |
|  | Present $\left(\mathrm{HOT}_{6}\right)$ | 2.320 | 3.947 | 6.393 | 6.808 |  |
|  | 3D-Ritz [17] | 2.320 | 3.946 | 6.392 | 6.805 |  |
|  | 3D-Ritz [15] | 2.320 | 3.946 | 6.392 | 6.805 |  |

Another illustrative example is referred to a completely free annular plate with $R_{o} / R_{i}=2$ and two different thickness-to-outer-radius ratios, $h / R_{o}=0.4$ and $h / R_{o}=$ 1. The first four non-dimensional frequencies $\lambda=\omega R_{o} \sqrt{\rho / G}$ corresponding to antisymmetric modes are shown in Table 4 for circumferential wavenumber $n$ ranging from 0 to 3 . Present solutions, computed with $M=30$ and based on kinematic theories of increasing order, are compared with the 3-D Ritz series solutions available in [17] and [15]. By looking at results corresponding to axisymmetric modes when $h / R_{o}=0.4$, it is confirmed that $\mathrm{HOT}_{1}$ suffer from thickness locking. Therefore, it must be used along with the enforcement of null normal transverse stress condition [22]. It can be also observed that, except for a few higher-order axisymmetric modes in the very thick case ( $h / R_{o}=1$ ), the frequency solutions obtained with a 2-D sixth-order theory are all in excellent agreement with those from a 3-D analysis. The accuracy of the lowest modes is still very good in the case of $h / R_{o}=0.4$ when $\mathrm{HOT}_{3}$ is adopted. However, it is seen that, when relatively high-order modes of very thick plates are of interest, a kinematic theory of high refinement is required.

## 5 Conclusions

A novel variable-kinematic Ritz formulation capable of handling in an unified way an entire class of 2-D higher-order kinematic theories for accurate vibration analysis of circular and annular plates of any thickness has been derived. The method relies on suitable expansion of invariant kernels of the mass and stiffness matrix. The invariance is to be intended with respect to both the order of the theory and the type of Ritz trial functions. Considering the circumferential symmetric of the problem under study, the present method is also computationally efficient.

Upper-bound frequency values have been presented using products of boundarycompliant functions and Chebyshev polynomials. It has been shown that the method
exhibits good convergence properties and a high numerical stability. As expected, increasing accuracy towards 3-D values in terms of frequency parameters has been found with theory refinement. Further, kinematic plate models of lower order are more sensitive to thickness-to-radius ratio, whereas accuracy is substantially independent from plate thickness when a highly refined theory is adopted.
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## Appendix A

By introducing the following thickness integrals

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\tau s}=\int_{-h / 2}^{+h / 2} F_{\tau}(z) F_{s}(z) \mathrm{d} z & E_{\tau s, z}=\int_{-h / 2}^{+h / 2} F_{\tau}(z) \frac{\mathrm{d} F_{s}(z)}{\mathrm{d} z} \mathrm{~d} z \\
E_{\tau, z s}=\int_{-h / 2}^{+h / 2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} F_{\tau}(z)}{\mathrm{d} z} F_{s}(z) \mathrm{d} z & E_{\tau, z s, z}=\int_{-h / 2}^{+h / 2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} F_{\tau}(z)}{\mathrm{d} z} \frac{\mathrm{~d} F_{s}(z)}{\mathrm{d} z} \mathrm{~d} z
\end{aligned}
$$

the matrices $\mathbf{Z}_{\tau s}^{\mathrm{pp}}, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}_{\tau s}^{\rho}$ in Eqs. (25) and (26) are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Z}_{\tau s}^{\mathrm{pp}} & =E_{\tau s} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pp}} & \mathbf{Z}_{\tau s}^{\mathrm{pn}} & =E_{\tau s} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pn}} \\
\mathbf{Z}_{\tau s} & =E_{\tau s} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{np}} & \mathbf{Z}_{\tau s}^{\mathrm{nn}} & =E_{\tau \tau} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{nn}} \\
\mathbf{Z}_{\tau s, z}^{\mathrm{pn}} & =E_{\tau s, z} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pn}} & \mathbf{Z}_{\tau s, z}^{\mathrm{nn}} & =E_{\tau s, z} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{nn}} \\
\mathbf{Z}_{\tau, z s} & =E_{\tau, z s} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{np}} & \mathbf{Z}_{\tau, z s} & =E_{\tau, z s} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{nn}} \\
\mathbf{Z}_{\tau, z s, z}^{\mathrm{nn}} & =E_{\tau, z s, z} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{nn}} & \mathbf{Z}_{\tau s}^{\rho} & =E_{\tau s} \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

## Appendix B

After introducing the quantities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{c} & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos ^{2}(n \theta) \mathrm{d} \theta \\
\Gamma_{s} & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sin ^{2}(n \theta) \mathrm{d} \theta
\end{aligned} \quad(n=0,1,2, \ldots)
$$

and defining the following integrals

$$
I_{\alpha \beta}^{a b c}=\int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{a} \phi_{\alpha \tau i}}{\mathrm{~d} \xi^{a}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{b} \phi_{\beta s j}}{\mathrm{~d} \xi^{b}}(\xi+\delta)^{c} \mathrm{~d} \xi
$$

the elements of the stiffness fundamental nucleus $\mathbf{K}_{\tau s i j}$ can be explicitly written as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\tau s i j}(1,1) & =E_{\tau s} C_{11} \Gamma_{c} I_{\xi \xi}^{111}+E_{\tau s} C_{22} \Gamma_{c} I_{\xi \xi}^{00-1}+E_{\tau s} C_{12} \Gamma_{c}\left(I_{\xi \xi}^{100}+I_{\xi \xi}^{010}\right) \\
& +E_{\tau s} C_{66} n^{2} \Gamma_{s} I_{\xi \xi}^{00-1}+E_{\tau, z s}{ }_{s k} C_{55} \gamma^{2} \Gamma_{c} I_{\xi \xi}^{001} \\
K_{\tau s i j}(1,2) & =E_{\tau s} C_{12} n \Gamma_{c} I_{\xi \theta}^{100}+E_{\tau s} C_{22} n \Gamma_{c} I_{\xi \theta}^{00-1}+E_{\tau s} C_{66} n \Gamma_{s}\left(I_{\xi \theta}^{00-1}-I_{\xi \theta}^{010}\right) \\
K_{\tau s i j}(1,3) & =E_{\tau s, z} C_{13} \gamma \Gamma_{c} I_{\xi z}^{101}+E_{\tau s_{s, z}} C_{23} \gamma \Gamma_{c} I_{\xi z}^{000}+E_{\tau_{, z s}} C_{55} \gamma \Gamma_{c} I_{\xi z}^{011} \\
K_{\tau s i j}(2,1) & =E_{\tau s} C_{12} n \Gamma_{c} I_{\theta \xi}^{010}+E_{\tau s} C_{22} n \Gamma_{c} I_{\theta \xi}^{00-1}+E_{\tau s} C_{66} n \Gamma_{s}\left(I_{\theta \xi}^{00-1}-I_{\theta \xi}^{100}\right) \\
K_{\tau s i j}(2,2) & =E_{\tau s} C_{22} n^{2} \Gamma_{c} I_{\theta \theta}^{00-1}+E_{\tau s} C_{66} \Gamma_{s}\left(I_{\theta \theta}^{111}-I_{\theta \theta}^{100}-I_{\theta \theta}^{010}+I_{\theta \theta}^{00-1}\right) \\
& +E_{\tau, z s, z} C_{44} \gamma^{2} \Gamma_{s} I_{\theta \theta}^{001} \\
K_{\tau s i j}(2,3) & =E_{\tau s, z} C_{23} n \gamma \Gamma_{c} I_{\theta z}^{000}-E_{\tau, z s} C_{44} n \gamma \Gamma_{s} I_{\theta z}^{000} \\
K_{\tau s i j}(3,1) & =E_{\tau, z s} C_{13} \gamma \Gamma_{c} I_{z \xi}^{011}+E_{\tau, s s} C_{23} \gamma \Gamma_{c} I_{z \xi}^{000}+E_{\tau s, z} C_{55} \gamma \Gamma_{c} I_{z \xi}^{101} \\
K_{\tau s i j}(3,2) & =E_{\tau, z s} C_{23} n \gamma \Gamma_{c} I_{z \theta}^{000}-E_{\tau s, z} C_{44} n \gamma \Gamma_{s} I_{z \theta}^{000} \\
K_{\tau s i j}(3,3) & =E_{\tau s} C_{55} \Gamma_{c} I_{z z}^{111}+E_{\tau s} C_{44} n^{2} \Gamma_{s} I_{z z}^{00-1}+E_{\tau, z s, z} C_{33} \gamma^{2} \Gamma_{c} I_{z z}^{001}
\end{aligned}
$$

The non-null elements of the mass fundamental nucleus $\mathbf{M}_{\tau s i j}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\tau s i j}(1,1) & =E_{\tau s} \rho \gamma^{2} \Gamma_{c} I_{\xi \xi}^{001} \\
M_{\tau s i j}(2,2) & =E_{\tau s} \rho \gamma^{2} \Gamma_{s} I_{\theta \theta}^{001} \\
M_{\tau s i j}(3,3) & =E_{\tau s} \rho \gamma^{2} \Gamma_{c} I_{z z}^{001}
\end{aligned}
$$

By setting $n=0$ in the above equations, axisymmetric modes are obtained. Note that, in this case, $K_{\tau s i j}(1,2)=K_{\tau s i j}(2,1)=K_{\tau s i j}(2,2)=K_{\tau s i j}(2,3)=K_{\tau s i j}(3,2)=$ 0 and $M_{\tau s i j}(2,2)=0$.

In the case of torsional modes, the circumferential is once again null, but now $\Gamma_{c}$ is replaced by $\Gamma_{s}$ and conversely. Therefore, the only non-zero terms are the following:

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{\tau s i j}=E_{\tau s} C_{66} \Gamma_{c}\left(I_{\theta \theta}^{111}-I_{\theta \theta}^{100}-I_{\theta \theta}^{010}+I_{\theta \theta}^{00-1}\right)+E_{\tau, z s, z} C_{44} \gamma^{2} \Gamma_{c} I_{\theta \theta}^{001} \\
M_{\tau s i j}=E_{\tau s} \rho \gamma^{2} \Gamma_{c} I_{\theta \theta}^{001}
\end{gathered}
$$

